

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/779,271	02/08/2001	Alan J. McPherson	3054-027	4117
22440	7590 02/24/2006		EXAMINER	
GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC			MEI, XU	
270 MADISON AVENUE 8TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 100160601			2644	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/779,271	MCPHERSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Xu Mei	2644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Fe	ebruary 2001.				
<u> </u>					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the conversion process" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said converter" in line 6.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said controls" in line 7.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said program" in line 9.

It is unclear which 'program' is this limitation referring to in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the medium" in lines 10-11.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 1 and 4 recites the limitation "can be played".

There is not a positive limitation.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said parameter" in lines 89. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation
in the claim. It appears 'said parameter' should be --said
selectable parameter--.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "a sensor adapted to determine said parameter and to generate a coefficient corresponding to said parameter" is considered indefinite because there is no functional connection between "the coefficient generated from the parameter" and the other limitation(s) in the claim. It's therefore unclear what "the coefficient generated from the parameter" is being used for in the claim.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "said multichannel" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "generate a coefficient corresponding to said selectable parameter" is considered indefinite because there is no functional connection between "the coefficient generated from the parameter" and the other limitation(s) in the claim. It's therefore unclear what "the coefficient generated from the selectable parameter" is being used for in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "said operational parameter" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "certain target characteristics" is considered indefinite because it's unclear "the certain target characteristics" is as claimed.

Claims 1-16 are too indefinite to examine against art at this time and will be treat on the merit upon correction of all 112 problems as indicated above.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xu Mei whose telephone number is 571-272-7523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Xu Mei

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2644 02/10/2006